Release of details of recent research on affecting influenza transmissibility poses far more risk than any good that might occur.

Two recently submitted manuscripts to Science and Nature report success in creating mutant isolates of influenza A/H5N1 that are able to be transmitted by respiratory droplet or aerosol between mammals (ferrets). The studies imply that human-to-human transmission could be possible as well. Shortly after the submission of the papers to the journals, the National Science Advisory Board for Biosecurity (NSABB) was asked by the United States government to address this question. The NSABB recommended that the papers not be fully published; rather, the basic results of the studies should be communicated without methods or detailed results but in sufficient detail to maximize the benefits to society of the studies’ findings. In turn, these recommendations were accepted by the U.S. government and shared with the authors and the editors of Science and Nature.

Some have asserted that these recommendations represent unwarranted censorship of scientific research and that the sharing of the results, particularly the specific viral mutations, is necessary to protect global public health. They argue that sharing the virus mutation information with global influenza surveillance organizations would result in the rapid identification of a potential H5N1 pandemic virus in birds or humans. This early information might permit health authorities to quash an emerging human influenza pandemic. In addition, they believe that knowledge of the mutations could enhance H5N1 vaccine research and manufacturing.

While considering the possible merits of a wider dissemination of more complete information regarding mutational changes of the newly created H5N1 strains, one fact must be kept in mind. The current circulating strains of influenza A/H5N1, with their human case fatality rate of 30 to 80%, places this pathogen in the category of causing one of the most virulent known human infectious diseases.

Moreover, detecting an emerging pandemic virus in animals before the occurrence of a human pandemic is unrealistic; rather, the pandemic virus documentation will be “an after the fact record of what just happened.” For example, in the six countries of the world where highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 is endemic (Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, Egypt, Indonesia, and Viet Nam), the quality of public and private veterinary and animal production services is greatly lacking (1). These countries are not often able to detect and respond to influenza A/H5N1 infections in birds. When H5N1 isolates are obtained, little to no gene sequencing is conducted, meaning that a mutation map of possible prepandemic viruses generally will not be available. Even if such laboratory support were readily available and samples from ill birds were processed in a timely manner, the level of commitment by these countries to deal vigorously with H5N1 is lacking. This conclusion was recently highlighted by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (1, 2).

The World Health Organization (WHO) is also well aware of the magnitude of the challenge of identifying an emerging human influenza pandemic and stopping it before it spreads globally. Experiences with pandemic H1N1 [A(H1N1)pmd09)] show the problems of a strategy based on the assumption that an emerging influenza pandemic could be identified quickly in a localized geographic area with no, or very limited, travel in or out of the pandemic zone (3) As a result of extensive global travel, A(H1N1)pmd09 infection was already occurring in a number of countries before the first isolate was identified (4).

With regard to H5N1 vaccine research, licensed influenza vaccines for human use, whether inactivated or live attenuated, are based on the use of the hemagglutinin and neuraminidase antigens, not on the other novel antigens that are potentially altered by the mutational changes in one of these studies. Although H5N1 candidate vaccines using the isolates from these studies should be developed and tested, this does not require sharing all of the mutational data outside of a small select group of established researchers already working within the WHO network. Rather, the real challenge that we face in preparing for the next influenza pandemic is developing, licensing, and manufacturing 21st century game-changing influenza vaccines that are effective against...
multiple strains and readily available on a global basis in time for the earliest days of the pandemic. One of us (M.T.O.) recently summarized the serious challenges we face with the relative effectiveness and availability of our current hemagglutinin antigen vaccines (5). First, the effectiveness of both adjuvanted and unadjuvanted vaccines against A(H1N1)pdm09-related illness was limited despite the very close match between the circulating virus and the vaccine strain. In the United States, the effectiveness of the unadjuvanted vaccine in children and adults 10 to 49 years was 59%, and for mostly adjuvanted vaccines in Europe and Canada in those primarily under 65 years of age, the median effectiveness was 72%. In addition, influenza vaccines produced for each of the last three pandemics (1957, 1969, and 2009) prevented very little disease because supplies of vaccine were not available until after most of the cases had occurred due to lengthy manufacturing requirements (6–9).

In summary, the desire to disseminate the entirety of the methods and results of the two H5N1 studies in the general scientific literature will not materially increase our ability to protect the public’s health from a future H5N1 pandemic. Even targeting dissemination of the information to scientists who request it will likely not enhance the public’s health. Rather, making every effort to ensure that this information does not easily fall into the hands of those who might use it for nefarious purposes or that a biosafety accident resulting in an unintended release does not occur should be our first and highest priority. We can’t unring a bell; should a highly transmissible and virulent H5N1 influenza virus that is of human making cause a catastrophic pandemic, whether as the result of intentional or unintentional release, the world will hold life sciences accountable for what it did or did not do to minimize that risk.
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